

REMARKS

Claims 1-3 remain pending in this application with claims 1-3 being amended by this response and claims 4-7 being cancelled by this response. Claims 1-3 have been amended for purposes of clarity, as suggested by the Office Action. Support for the amendments can be found throughout the specification and specifically on page 2, lines 18-23, page 3, lines 20-31 and page 5, lines 2-23. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter is added by the amended claims.

Objection to the Specification

The specification is objected to for certain informalities. The specification has been amended in accordance with the comments in the Office Action to correct typographical errors and provide headings throughout.

In view of the above remarks and amendments to the specification, it is respectfully submitted that these objections are satisfied and should be withdrawn.

Objection to the Drawings

The Examiner has objected to the drawings under 37 CFR 1.83(a) as not showing every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Fig. 3 has been amended to replace the typographical error "308" with the correct number "306" as suggested by the Office Action. Fig. 3 has also been amended to include the two additional floating inserts 313 and 316 as suggested by the Office Action. The specification has been likewise amended to identify the two additional floating inserts. Fig. 3 shows that the number of floating inserts (4) is equivalent to the number of inductive inserts (4). As support for this amendment was present in the originally filed application, no new matter is added by these amendments to the drawings. In view of the attached amended drawings it is respectfully submitted that this objection is satisfied and should be withdrawn.

Rejection of Claims 1-7 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which is regarded as the invention. Claims 4-7 have been cancelled in this response. Claims 1-3 have been

amended for purposes of clarity and to correct typographical errors as suggested by the Office Action.


In view of the amendments to the specification and claims, it is respectfully submitted that this rejection is satisfied and should be withdrawn.

Having fully addressed the Examiner's rejections, it is believed that, in view of the amendments and remarks, this application stands in condition for allowance. Accordingly then, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully solicited. If, however, the Examiner is of the opinion that such action cannot be taken, the Examiner is invited to contact the applicant's attorney at the phone number below, so that a mutually convenient date and time for a telephonic interview may be scheduled.

No additional fee is believed due. However, if a fee is due, please charge the fee to Deposit Account 07-0832.

Respectfully submitted,
Dominique Lo Hine Tong et al.

By:



Jack Schwartz
Reg. No. 34,721
Tel. No. (609)734-6866

Thomson Licensing, LLC.
Patent Operations
PO Box 5312
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312
April 23, 2007




Application Serial No. 10/540,407

Attorney Docket No. PF030005

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING under 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this amendment is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

Date: April 23, 2007



Jack Schwartz
Reg. No. 34,721

IN THE DRAWINGS

Please replace the drawings on file with the attached amended drawings.